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Abstract

Human brain asymmetries have been well described. Intriguingly, a number of asymmetries in brain phenotypes have been shown to change throughout the lifespan. Recent studies have revealed topological asymmetries between hemispheric white matter networks in the human brain. However, it remains unknown whether and how these topological asymmetries evolve from adolescence to young adulthood, a critical period that constitutes the second peak of human brain and cognitive development. To address this question, the present study included a large cohort of healthy adolescents and young adults. Diffusion and structural magnetic resonance imaging were acquired to construct hemispheric white matter networks, and graph-theory was applied to quantify topological parameters of the hemispheric networks. In both adolescents and young adults, rightward asymmetry in both global and local network efficiencies was consistently observed between the two hemispheres, but the degree of the asymmetry was significantly decreased in young adults. At the nodal level, the young adults exhibited less rightward asymmetry of nodal efficiency mainly around the parasympathetic area, posterior tempo-parietal cortex, and fusiform gyrus. These developmental patterns of network asymmetry provide novel insight into the human brain structural development from adolescence to young adulthood and also likely relate to the maturation of language and social cognition that takes place during this period.
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Introduction

The human brain is structurally and functionally asymmetrical, or lateralized (Toga and Thompson 2003). In healthy individuals, a number of brain phenotypes have been shown to exhibit asymmetry, including gray matter (GM) volume (Beaton 1997; Good et al. 2001), cortical thickness (Luders et al. 2006), white matter (WM) integrity (Buchel et al. 2004; Catani et al. 2007; Qiu et al. 2011; Thiebaut de Schotten, Dell’Acqua, et al. 2011), and functional activation (Springer et al. 1999; Devlin et al. 2003). These asymmetries are putatively associated with the functional specialization of language, motor, or other cognitive functions (Toga and Thompson 2003). Importantly, various psychiatric and neurological diseases exhibit significant alterations of hemispheric brain asymmetries; these changes provide valuable insight into the underlying pathological mechanisms and also suggest the possibility of asymmetry-based biomarkers for these diseases (Bilder et al. 1999; Herbert et al. 2002; Leonard and Eckert 2008; Shaw et al. 2009).

Notably, hemispheric asymmetries in the human brain continually change throughout the lifespan. A number of studies have demonstrated developmental changes in the hemispheric asymmetry of measures of both brain structure and function, including cortical thickness (Zhou et al. 2013), WM properties (Gong, Jiang, Zhu, Zang, He, et al. 2005; Gong, Jiang, Zhu, Zang,
Wang, et al. 2005; Lebel and Beaulieu 2009; Thiebaut de Schotten, Ffytche, et al. 2011; Song et al. 2015), functional activation (Cabeza 2002), homotopic resting-state functional coupling (Zuo et al. 2010), and resting-state network organization (Agcaoglu et al. 2015). These developmental changes in brain asymmetries might underlie the maturation of or decline in the specialization of specific human cognitive functions (Zhou et al. 2013). Intriguingly, developmental changes in human brain asymmetries might be modulated by gender. For instance, the leftward asymmetry of the local surface area around the paracentral lobule emerged at birth in boys but 2 years after birth in girls (Li, Nie, et al. 2014).

In recent years, diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques have been applied to virtually reconstruct WM tracts and to model the human brain as a complex network/graph (Le Bihan 2003; Bullmore and Sporns 2009). Graph theoretical approaches provide a powerful tool to examine the topological organization of the constructed human brain WM networks. These WM networks have been shown to exhibit a number of nontrivial topological properties, for example, small-world organizational principles (Iturria-Medina et al. 2007; Gong, He, et al. 2009), central communication hubs and highway connections (Hagmann et al. 2008; Gong, He, et al. 2009; Li et al. 2013), modular structure (Hagmann et al. 2008, 2010; Yap et al. 2011), and rich-club architecture (van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011; van den Heuvel et al. 2012; van den Heuvel et al. 2013). The topology of the entire human brain network also evolves across the lifespan (Gong, Rosa-Neto, et al. 2009; Hagmann et al. 2010; Yap et al. 2011). By studying WM networks within a hemisphere, several studies have demonstrated significant topological asymmetry between hemispheric WM networks. For instance, in healthy adults, the right hemispheric network exhibited more efficient WM connections and a greater degree of small-worldness compared with the left (Iturria-Medina et al. 2011; Li, Chen, et al. 2014). In contrast, the neonatal brain showed greater network efficiency in the left hemisphere compared with the right (Ratnarajah et al. 2013). These contrasting findings suggest that development affects the topological asymmetry of the networks in the 2 hemispheres. In line with this hypothesis, Caeyenberghs and Leemans (2014) reported a significant change in the degree of asymmetry of the global network efficiency from young-to-old adulthood (i.e., 20–86 years).

However, it has remained unknown whether and how the asymmetry of network topology evolves from adolescence to young adulthood, a critical period that constitutes the second peak of human brain and cognitive development (Paus 1999; Sowell et al. 1999; Blakemore 2008). During this period, substantial neuronal changes (e.g., the elimination of synaptic spines, turnover of synaptic circuitry, dendritic growth, and axonal myelination) have been observed (Rakic et al. 1994; Petanjek et al. 2008, 2011), supporting a protracted brain network maturation at the macro scale. Given the differences in hemispheric asymmetries that have been previously observed between adolescence and young adulthood (e.g., cortical thickness and WM integrity), we hypothesized the existence of dramatic differences in the topological asymmetry of hemispheric networks. Such differences in asymmetry might serve as structural substrates for the specific types of cognitive development that take place during this period. To test this hypothesis, we used diffusion MRI to construct hemispheric WM networks in a relatively large cohort of healthy adolescents and young adults and then applied graph theoretical approaches to quantify multiple topological parameters for the hemispheric networks.

Materials and Methods

Participants

There were 106 adolescents (age range, 11.0–15.9 years; mean 13.6 ± 1.16 years; female/male: 49/57) and 98 young adults (age range, 21.0–25.9 years; mean 23.1 ± 1.30 years; female/male: 52/46) in our cohort. No gender difference was observed between the 2 groups (2-tailed Pearson χ² test, P = 0.33). All participants were Han Chinese and were recruited through the parent network and by local advertisements on campus. The vast majority of participants are right-handed, and only 3 adolescents are left-handed. All participants had no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. Written informed consent was obtained from each of the participants or their guardians. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning at Beijing Normal University.

MRI data Acquisition

All MRI scans were performed on the same 3 T Siemens Tim Trio MRI scanner at the Imaging Center for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University. T1-weighted images were acquired using a magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence with the following imaging parameters: repetition time (TR) = 2530 ms; echo time (TE) = 3.39 ms; inversion time (TI) = 1100 ms; slice thickness = 1.33 mm; flip angle = 7°; no interslice gap; 144 sagittal slices covering the whole brain; matrix size = 256 × 256; and field of view (FOV) = 256 × 256 mm². For the diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) scans, a single-shot, two-refocused spin-echo diffusion echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence was applied with the following parameters: TR = 8000 ms; TE = 89 ms; 30 optimal diffusion-weighted directions with a b-value of 1000 s/mm² and one image with a b-value of 0 s/mm²; data matrix = 128 × 128; FOV = 282 × 282 mm²; slice thickness = 2.2 mm; 62 axial slices with no interslice gap; voxel size = 2.2 × 2.2 × 2.2 mm³; and number of averages = 2.

Hemispheric Brain WM Network Construction

The processing of all DWI images was performed using a pipeline tool of diffusion MRI, that is, PANDA (Cui et al. 2013). The processing included brain extraction, correction for eddy current distortion and simple head motion, b-matrix correction (Leemans and Jones 2009), and computations for diffusion tensor and fractional anisotropy (FA). To study the topological asymmetry of human brain networks, we constructed the 2 hemispheric brain WM networks for each subject. The network construction flowchart is illustrated in Figure 1, and the technical details are described below.

Node Definition for the Hemispheric WM Networks

To construct a brain network, 2 basic network elements must be determined: nodes and edges. For each hemisphere, the entire GM was parcellated into 512 uniform regions of interest (ROIs) using a random partition procedure (Zalesky et al. 2010); each ROI represented a node of the hemispheric network. First, the AAL template in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space was binarized, excluding the cerebellum. The resultant mask and its flipped version were combined to yield a symmetric mask for the entire cerebral cortex. The random parcellation procedure was then applied within the right side of the symmetric mask. Next, the resultant 512-ROI set for the right side was flipped into the left hemisphere. This flipping ensured one-to-one correspondence of ROIs/nodes between the left and right
hemispheric networks, enabling direct comparisons between the 2 hemispheric networks. For each subject, the ROIs set in MNI space were further transformed into the native diffusion space, as proposed previously (Gong, He, et al. 2009). Briefly, the individual FA images were first coregistered to the T1-weighted images. The T1-weighted images were then nonlinearly normalized to the symmetric ICBM-152 T1 template in MNI space using FMRIB’s Nonlinear Image Registration Tool (FNIRT, http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/). Finally, the inverse transformations were applied to the parcellation of the MNI space, resulting in native-space GM parcellations for each subject. The transformation procedures were also implemented using PANDA.

Edge Definition for the Hemispheric WM Networks
Probabilistic tractography in FSL was used to define network edges (Gong, Rosa-Neto, et al. 2009; Li et al. 2012). Specifically, Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling was first applied to estimate voxel-wise probability density functions (PDFs). A 2-fiber model was used for each voxel. Each node was then selected as a seed region, and the probabilistic tractography was conducted by sampling 5000 tracts for each voxel within the seed region. For each sample, the principal diffusion direction was determined from the local PDF. The tract was then traced 0.5 mm along the principal direction to a new location. This process continued until it reached the boundary of brain mask, or the path loops back to itself, or the turning angle was >60°. The connectivity probability from region i to region j was defined as the edge weight after distance was corrected for (Chu et al. 2015). Notably, the estimated connectivity probability from i to j is not necessarily equivalent to the probability from j to i because probabilistic tractography depends on the seeding location. Here, we simply averaged the 2 connectivity probabilities as the final edge weight between i and j. Because hemispheric networks were our main focus, the seed and target regions were confined to the same hemisphere. For each subject, the analysis yielded two 512 × 512 symmetric weighted matrices, each representing a hemispheric network of the human brain.

Network Parameters
Network measures were calculated using the Gretna package (Wang et al. 2015). In graph theory, topological network efficiencies have been widely used to characterize the capacity of parallel information processing within a complex network (Latora and Marchiori 2001, 2003). In particular, these measures are conceptually preferable for analyses of brain network topology (Achard and Bullmore 2007). We therefore focused on efficiency-related parameters when assessing the asymmetry of hemispheric
brain networks. Specifically, nodal efficiency, global network efficiency, and local network efficiency were computed. The definitions for these parameters are described below.

**Nodal Efficiency**

Nodal efficiency \((E_{\text{nodal}})\) represents the capacity of a node to communicate with the other nodes of a network and is defined as follows:

\[
E_{\text{nodal}}(i) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{L_{ij}}
\]

where \(L_{ij}\) is the shortest path length between node \(i\) and node \(j\) and represents the distance between node \(i\) and node \(j\); and \(N\) denotes the number of nodes of the network \(G\).

**Network Global Efficiency**

Global efficiency is a global measure of the information transferring ability of the entire network and is computed as the mean of the nodal efficiencies of all of the nodes of the network (Latora and Marchiori 2001):

\[
E_{\text{glob}}(G) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{L_{ij}}
\]

**Local Network Efficiency**

Local efficiency corresponds to the average efficiency of information flow within the local environment and reflects the average ability of a network to tolerate faults (Latora and Marchiori 2001). The local efficiency of a network is computed as follows:

\[
E_{\text{loc}}(i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in G} E_{\text{glob}}(i, j)
\]

where \(G_i\) is the subgraph composed of the nearest neighbors of node \(i\) and the connections among them.

**Asymmetry Index**

To quantify the degree of asymmetry, a commonly used asymmetry index (AI) was calculated using the following formula:

\[
AI = \frac{M_L - M_R}{M_L + M_R}
\]

where \(M_L\) and \(M_R\) represent the global or local efficiency of the entire left and right hemispheric network, respectively. For nodal efficiencies, \(M_L\) and \(M_R\) represent the nodal efficiency of corresponding ROIs from the left and right hemispheric networks, respectively. In total, 512 values of AI were obtained for nodal efficiency, one for each node. Notably, here, a positive value of AI represents a leftward asymmetry, while a negative value of AI represents a rightward asymmetry.

**Statistical Analysis**

For each group (i.e., adolescents and young adults), we first tested for significant within-group asymmetries in global efficiency, local efficiency, or nodal efficiency. Specifically, we applied a linear model with repeated measures, in which the hemisphere was taken as the repeated variable (i.e., left and right as the repeated measure) and gender was used as a covariate. The “gender × hemisphere” interaction was evaluated first, but this interaction was not significant for any of the efficiency parameters, and therefore this interaction term was excluded in the final model.

Given the previously reported association of network efficiency and brain size (Yan et al. 2011) as well as the reports of between-hemisphere differences in hemispheric size (Giedd et al. 1999), the intracranial volume (ICV) of the hemispheres was included as a covariate in the statistical model. Here, the hemispheric ICV was computed by summing the volume of WM, GM, and cerebrospinal fluid within each hemisphere. The tissue segmentation was applied to the T1-weighted images, and the procedure was implemented using SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/).

For network efficiencies, \(P < 0.05\) was considered significant. For nodal efficiencies (512 in total), the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure was applied to correct for multiple comparisons (Genovese et al. 2002), and \(q < 0.05\) was considered significant.

Next, we assessed age-related effects on the AI of the network’s global efficiency, local efficiency, and nodal efficiencies. For each AI measure, the “group × gender” interaction was first evaluated. If the interaction was not significant, the interaction term was excluded in the statistical model. The main group effects were then evaluated after controlling for gender, whole-brain ICV, and the difference in hemispheric ICV. For the AI of nodal efficiency (512 in total), the statistical procedure was confined to the nodes/ROIs that showed a significant asymmetry in at least one of the groups, and \(q < 0.05\) after FDR correction was considered significant.

**Validation**

Here, a random parcellation procedure was used to define network nodes. To evaluate the influence of the parcellation scheme on our results, we repeated the random parcellation within the 2 hemispheres using the exact same procedure above and constructed hemispheric brain networks. To distinguish the 2 random parcellation schemes, we referred to the original as parcellation I and to the one used for validation as parcellation II. All of the network topological asymmetry analyses were reapplied using the hemispheric networks of the parcellation II.

**Results**

**Within-group Asymmetry of Local and Global Network Efficiencies**

The within-group asymmetries in global and local network efficiencies for both groups are illustrated in Figure 2. Significant
rightward (i.e., right > left) hemispheric asymmetry in local network efficiency was observed in the adolescents (t = −5.32, P < 0.001) but not in the young adults (t = −0.74, P = 0.46). Significant rightward asymmetry in global network efficiency was observed in both groups (adolescents: t = −7.28, P < 0.001; young adults: t = −2.11, P = 0.03).

Between-group Differences in the Asymmetry of Local and Global Network Efficiency

There was no significant "group × gender" interaction for the AI of local and global network efficiency. A significant group main effect was observed for the AI of both local efficiency (t = −3.08; P < 0.001) and global efficiency (t = −3.71; P < 0.001), as shown in Table 1 and Figure 3. Specifically, compared with the adolescents, the young adults exhibited decreased rightward asymmetry in both local and global efficiency. Post hoc analysis revealed a significant increase or trend toward an increase in network efficiency in both hemispheres from adolescence to young adulthood (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, the degree of increase for the left hemisphere (E_{loc}^L: t = −3.29; P = 0.0012; E_{glob}^L: t = −4.981; P < 0.001) was greater than that for the right (E_{loc}^R: t = −1.08; P = 0.28; E_{glob}^R: t = −2.17; P = 0.03), ultimately leading to decreased rightward asymmetry in the young adults.

Within-group Asymmetry of Nodal Efficiency

For each group, the map of mean nodal efficiency within each hemisphere is illustrated in Figure 4A. Visual inspection suggests that the spatial patterns of mean nodal efficiency of the 2 hemispheres were highly similar. In both groups, quantitative linear correlational analysis across all nodes revealed very high correlation coefficients between the 2 hemispheres (Fig. 4B, R > 0.99, P < 0.0001). Notably, a paired t-test of the mean nodal efficiency (512 nodes in total) between the 2 hemispheres showed that in both groups, the mean nodal efficiency of the right hemisphere was significantly higher (adolescents: t = −28.85, P < 0.0001; young adults: t = −8.37, P < 0.0001), indicating a rightward asymmetry of mean nodal efficiency on average.

The between-hemisphere difference in mean nodal efficiency for each group is illustrated in Figure 4C. The hemispheric network nodes that showed significant between-hemisphere differences in nodal efficiency (FDR-corrected P < 0.05) in each group were projected onto the cortical surface, as demonstrated in Figure 4D. In both groups, the majority of nodal efficiency asymmetries were rightward, but the proportion differed. Specifically, 61.5% of nodes exhibited rightward asymmetry in the adolescents but only 21.3% exhibited rightward asymmetry in the young adults. The proportion of leftward asymmetric nodes was 0.59 and 7.62% in the adolescents and young adults, respectively. In the adolescents, the network nodes that exhibited rightward asymmetry in nodal efficiency covered a wide range of regions, including the postcentral gyrus, inferior parietal, precuneus, superior parietal gyrus, angular gyrus, and supramarginal gyrus of the parietal lobe; the middle temporal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, and fusiform gyrus of the temporal lobe; the precentral gyrus, superior medial frontal gyrus, and middle frontal gyrus of the frontal lobe; the middle occipital gyrus of the occipital lobe; the anterior and median cingulate and the paracingulate gyr of the limbic cortex; and the insula. In the young adults, the rightward asymmetric nodes were located in similar regions but covered a smaller area (Fig. 4D). In contrast, the leftward asymmetric nodes were mainly located around the supplementary motor area, fusiform gyrus, middle occipital gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, lingual gyrus, calcarine fissure and surrounding cortex, middle temporal gyrus, dorsolateral superior frontal gyrus, and superior and inferior occipital gyrus.

Between-group Differences in the Asymmetry of Nodal Efficiency

After FDR correction, no significant "group × gender" interaction effect was observed for the AI of nodal efficiency for any node. The significant group difference in the AI of nodal efficiency is illustrated in Figure 5A. As shown, the network nodes that exhibited such a group difference were predominately located around

Table 1 Statistical results for the local and global network efficiencies using the 2 parcellation schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Node parcellation I</th>
<th>Node parcellation II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-group asymmetry of E_{loc}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td>−5.32 *</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young adults</td>
<td>−0.74 *</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-group asymmetry of E_{glob}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td>−7.28 *</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young adults</td>
<td>−2.11 *</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group comparison of the AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al of E_{loc}</td>
<td>−3.08 *</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al of E_{glob}</td>
<td>−3.71 *</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E_{loc}, local efficiency; E_{glob}, global efficiency; AI, asymmetry index.

*Negative values represent rightward asymmetry.

*Negative values represent a decrease in rightward asymmetry.
the posterior temporal cortex (i.e., the posterior middle temporal gyrus, posterior inferior temporal gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus), supramarginal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, rolandic operculum, and inferior parietal cortex (Table 2). Overall, these nodes showed less rightward asymmetry in the young adults compared with the adolescents.

Validation with Another Parcellation Scheme
For both global and local network efficiencies, the statistical results for parcellation II were highly consistent with those for parcellation I (Table 1). In each group, the nodal efficiency asymmetry maps that were based on parcellation II were largely
compatible with those based on parcellation I. Significant correlations between the t-values for the 2 parcellations were observed across voxels (adolescents: $r = 0.849$, $P < 0.001$; young adults: $r = 0.697$, $P < 0.001$). Furthermore, the t-values of the group differences in the AI of nodal efficiency were also highly correlated between the 2 parcellations ($r = 0.54$, $P < 0.001$). These consistent results between the 2 parcellation schemes demonstrate the robustness of our findings.

Finally, to evaluate the effects of left-handedness on above results, we reran all analyses after controlling for the handedness factor in the statistical model, or simply excluding the 3 left-handed subjects in our analysis. Both results are highly consistent with our current findings (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Using a large cohort of samples, we investigated developmental effects on topological asymmetries of the human brain WM networks from adolescence to young adulthood. In both adolescents and young adults, rightward asymmetries in network efficiency were consistently observed between the 2 hemispheres, but the degree of asymmetry was reduced in the young adults. Locally, the degree of rightward asymmetry in nodal efficiency was reduced primarily around the parasyllian area, posterior temporal-parietal cortex, and fusiform gyrus. These findings provide direct evidence of changes in network asymmetry from adolescence to young adulthood; these changes likely underlie the rapid development of language and social cognition that takes place during this period.

**The Right Hemispheric Network is More Efficient in Adolescents and Young Adults**

The topological organization of human WM networks has been demonstrated to be asymmetric. For example, Iturria-Medina et al. (2011) provided the first demonstration of a rightward topological asymmetry of large-scale WM networks in healthy adults. Along this line, Caeyenberghs and Leemans (2014) and Ratnarajah et al. (2013) studied healthy elderly and newborn populations, respectively, and also revealed asymmetrical patterns in hemispheric network topologies during those developmental stages. Our data went one step further and revealed a rightward asymmetry of network efficiency in both adolescents (age range: 11.0–15.9 years) and young adults (age range: 21.0–25.9 years). Taken together, these results suggest that asymmetry in network topology exists through different developmental stages across the lifespan. Given that both general (i.e., IQ) and specific cognitive/behavioral abilities (i.e., visuospatial and executive function performance) have been shown to be associated with the whole-brain network efficiencies of WM networks (Li et al. 2009; Wen et al. 2011), the observed asymmetry in hemispheric network efficiencies is likely related to hemisphere-specific functional/behavioral specializations.

The observed rightward asymmetry in network efficiency suggests that the right hemisphere is intra-connected in a better integrated way, allowing for more efficient communication at the hemispheric level. Notably, there has been a longstanding notion argument that the right hemisphere is more important for global or parallel processing than the left (Delis et al. 1986), suggesting the presence of rightward structural/functional asymmetry in within-hemispheric organization. The currently observed network efficiency asymmetry provides further support for this hypothesis. Consistently, rightward asymmetry in network efficiency has been previously reported in 11 healthy adults...
(Iturria-Medina et al. 2011). Notably, rightward asymmetry in global efficiency was also found in macaque monkeys (Iturria-Medina et al. 2011). Therefore, it is likely that these observed network asymmetries were, at least partly, inherited from our ancestors (Ocklenburg and Gunturkun 2012).

However, conflicting results have been reported. For example, Caeyenberghs and Leemans (2014) reported leftward asymmetry in network efficiencies. It should be noted that there were substantial between-study differences in hemispheric WM network construction methods (e.g., network resolution and tractography methods). For example, the hemispheric network had a lower resolution in Caeyenberghs and Leemans 2014: 90 nodes (low resolution) versus 512 nodes in the present study (high resolution). The WM tractography algorithm and weighting strategy for network edges also differed: a deterministic tractography was used by Caeyenberghs and Leemans, but we applied a probabilistic tractography in the present study. Given the fact that network construction methods can dramatically affect WM network topological properties as well as its individual differences (Zalesky et al. 2010; Bassett et al. 2011; Zhong et al. 2015), the observed contradicting results likely relate to the differences in hemispheric network resolution and tractography methods. In addition to these methodological differences, age range for the young adults is quite different, with the Caeyenberghs’s group being much older (20–42 years) than ours (21–26 years). Though less likely, the WM network efficiency asymmetry may become flipped from rightward to leftward around the middle age, accounting for above conflicting results. In fact, our currently observed reduced rightward asymmetry from adolescence to young adulthood is in line with this notion. However, this possibility is essentially speculative, and life-span cohort is required to validate it in the future.

The observed asymmetry in nodal efficiency suggests that corresponding nodes in the 2 hemispheres differ in their capacity to communicate with other nodes in the same hemisphere (Bullmore and Sporns 2009). In the adolescents and young adults, the overlapping regions that exhibited rightward asymmetry in nodal efficiency were mostly located around the anterior medial and posterior lateral frontal as well as temporal-parietal junction areas. This asymmetry might relate to other structural asymmetries. For example, a rightward cortical thickness asymmetry along the lateral, mesial, and dorsal surfaces of the posterior temporal, parietal, and occipital cortices has been reported (Plessen et al. 2014). Microscopically, lower-order dendrites in the temporal-parietal junction area in the right hemisphere were longer than their counterparts in the left (Scheibel et al. 1985). Notably, in young adults, a substantial number of regions exhibited leftward asymmetry in nodal efficiency, and these regions were mainly located near medial parietal areas as well as the temporal and occipital (ventral) lobes.

**Developmental Effects on the Asymmetry of Network Properties**

Compared with the adolescents, the young adults exhibited significantly decreased rightward asymmetry in both local network efficiency and global network efficiency. This difference in hemispheric asymmetries is likely related to a mismatch in the developmental changes that the 2 hemispheres undergo. This hypothesis is compatible with the well-documented spatial specificity of the maturational trajectories of brain structural properties, such as GM volume (Sowell et al. 2003; Gogtay et al. 2004) and WM integrity (Imperati et al. 2011). Specifically, our data revealed a developmental increase in local and global network efficiencies in the left hemisphere but a smaller increase or only a trend toward an increase in the right hemisphere. In line with these observations, a previous study of the development of whole-brain WM networks also suggested differential changes in hemispheric network efficiency with age (Dennis et al. 2014), with an increase in global efficiency in the left hemisphere but a trend toward a decrease in the right hemisphere. Consistent with the current findings, these results suggested that significant maturation of network organization occurred in the left hemisphere, while smaller or even opposite changes occurred in the right hemisphere. The rapid network maturation of the left hemisphere is likely associated with the protracted neural circuitry maturation (e.g., the elimination of synaptic spines, turnover of synaptic circuitry, dendritic growth, and axonal myelination) through adolescence (Rakic et al. 1994; Petanjek et al. 2008, 2011). Biochemically, these developmental changes may relate to temporal dynamics of specific gene expression or metabolite level, which take place throughout the entire postnatal brain development (Somet et al. 2009; Fu et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2012).

Notably, many cognitive processes exhibit a cerebral lateralization, which has been frequently linked to intrinsic brain asymmetries. For example, a relationship between planum temporale asymmetry and language dominance has been well documented (Toga and Thompson 2003). Also, the degree of specific hemispheric asymmetries correlates significantly with the performance of lateralizing cognitive tasks. For instance, more prominent asymmetries in cortical thickness are significantly associated with better scores for Vocabulary and working memory tasks across healthy subjects (Plessen et al. 2014). Therefore, our observed developmental changes of network efficiency asymmetries likely have important cognitive/behavioral consequences. However, it should be noted that the more prominent asymmetry does not necessarily imply better cognitive abilities. As we have observed here, the degree of rightward asymmetry in hemispheric network efficiencies was reduced from adolescence to young adulthood, but generally young adults are supposed to have better cognitive/behavioral abilities than adolescents. Therefore, in contrast to the asymmetries of brain focal measures (e.g., cortical thickness, GM morphology, and WM microstructure), asymmetries of hemispheric network efficiencies seem “bad” things, in terms of cognitive abilities. This relationship can be explicitly evaluated by combining cognitive/behavioral data. However, our present study is limited by lacking cognitive/behavioral data. This intriguing issue warrants further investigation in the future.

The change in nodal efficiency asymmetry mainly involved the parasympathetic area, posterior temporal-parietal cortex, and fusiform gyrus; these areas showed a smaller degree of rightward asymmetry in the young adults compared with the adolescents. Similarly, this change was largely due to the increase in nodal efficiency from adolescence to young adulthood that occurred in the left hemisphere but not in the right hemisphere. Notably, these regions are largely part of association cortices, suggesting that the higher-order association cortices are more likely to undergo asymmetrical development. The parasympathetic areas and lateral temporal cortices are known to be highly associated with human language. Given that certain language skills, such as overall linguistic proficiency, continue to develop into later adulthood (Ravid and Tolchinsky 2002), the lower nodal efficiency asymmetry could underlie certain aspects of cognitive development in the language system. In addition, the posterior temporal-parietal cortex (i.e., the temporal-parietal junction) and fusiform gyrus largely relate to social cognition (Blakemore and Choudhury 2006; Blakemore 2008). Evidence from neuroimaging studies has clearly shown that the
social brain undergoes significant functional/structural development during adolescence, leading to dramatic changes in social behaviors (Blakemore 2008). The developmental changes in nodal efficiency asymmetry that we observed likely serve as a structural substrate for the rapid development of social cognition that occurs from adolescence to young adulthood. Notably, in the present study, there were no significant gender differences in asymmetry per se or in the developmental changes in asymmetry, suggesting that gender has a limited impact on these particular brain phenotypes. This observation is compatible with previous observations of structural cortical asymmetry (Luders et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2013) but conflict with observations of functional network asymmetry (Tian et al. 2011). A structure-function discrepancy, however, is expected to some extent, and there is a lack of one-to-one correspondence in structural and functional connectivity (Greicius et al. 2009; Honey et al. 2009; Horn et al. 2014).

Despite the lack of gender effect on the asymmetry or its developmental changes, there was a significant gender main effect on the hemispheric network global efficiency (male greater than female), but not the local efficiency, after controlling for the hemispheric side and age group factors (see Supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 1). This observed gender effect on the hemispheric network efficiency but not on its asymmetry or developmental change is highly consistent with previous studies in hemispheric network asymmetries (Caeyenberghs and Leemans 2014), and further supports an important role of gender in human brain connectivity (Gong et al. 2011; Yan et al. 2011).

Limitations and Future Work

A few issues should be addressed. First, despite the relatively large sample size of the present study, it is still important to validate our findings by replicating our analyses in a completely independent cohort. Second, our results were based on cross-sectional analyses, and a longitudinal developmental dataset is highly desired to verify the current findings. Next, the handedness has showed an impact on network efficiency asymmetries of human brains (Li, Chen, et al. 2014). However, the present study had a very unbalanced sample size for the handedness (i.e., only 3 left-handed adolescents), and therefore it is not feasible to assess the handedness effect on network asymmetries and its developmental changes. This intriguing issue can be explored in future studies. Finally, the current study did not include cognitive/behavioral data, and the relationship between the network asymmetry changes and language/social cognitive development are essentially speculative. It would be necessary to investigate developmental changes in network asymmetry and cognitive/behavioral scores together and to explicitly evaluate the asymmetry–cognition relationships in the future.

Conclusion

The present study revealed decreased rightward asymmetry in hemispheric WM networks from adolescence to young adulthood using a large cohort. This particular developmental pattern of asymmetry provides novel insight into human brain structural development and may underlie the maturation of specific cognitive abilities that occurs during this period.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material can be found at http://www.cercor.oxfordjournals.org/online.
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